Simultaneous determinations of U–Pb age, Hf isotopes and trace element compositions of zircon by excimer laser-ablation quadrupole and multiple-collector ICP-MS
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Abstract

We describe an in situ method for simultaneous measurement of U–Pb–Hf isotopes and trace element compositions of zircons using a quadrupole and multiple-collector inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometer (Q-ICP-MS and MC-ICP-MS, respectively) connected to a single excimer laser-ablation system. A laser-generated zircon aerosol was split behind the ablation cell into two transport tubes via a Y-shaped connector and simultaneously introduced into the two mass spectrometers. Hafnium isotopes were measured on the MC-ICP-MS instrument, while U–Pb ages and trace element compositions were determined using the Q-ICP-MS. The precision and accuracy of this method was evaluated using six well-known and widely used zircon standards (91500, Temora-2, GJ-1, Mud Tank, BR266 and Monastery). Analyses were carried out using spot sizes of 32, 44 and 60 μm. For the 44 and 60 μm spot, the resulting U–Pb ages, Hf isotopic and rare earth element (REE) compositions of these six zircons agree with recommended/reported values within 2σ error. The difference in relative standard deviations (RSD) of 206Pb/238U ages between split-flow measurements and those obtained separately on the Q-ICP-MS is within ~20% for 91500, Temora-2 and GJ-1, and ~60% for Mud Tank (due to its lower U and Pb concentrations). Our method provides a precise approach for determining the U–Pb age and the Hf isotopic and trace element compositions of zircon within a single ablation event. This is in particular important for analysis of zircons that are small or contain complicated zoning patterns. Finally, the REE composition of zircon BR266 is more homogeneous than other zircons and could be a suitable standard by which to benchmark new standards for microprobe analyses of zircons.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Introduction

Zircon (ZrSiO₄) is one of the most widely used accessory minerals in determining the age, origin, and
thermal history of rocks and deciphering the evolution of the crust and mantle of the Earth in terms of U–Th–Pb–Hf–O isotopic systematics and trace element compositions. The utility of zircon is mainly due to its high closure temperature, resistance to late disturbance, and high concentrations of the parent element uranium, daughter element hafnium, and rare earth elements (REE), especially heavy rare earth elements (HREE), coupled with an extremely low Lu/Hf ratio and negligible incorporation of the daughter element lead and parent element lutetium during crystallization. In addition, two geochronometers (235\(^{\text{U}}\)–207\(^{\text{Pb}}\) and 238\(^{\text{U}}\)–206\(^{\text{Pb}}\)) can be used to test the concordance of the determined age (Patchett et al., 1981; Kinny and Maas, 2003; Harrison et al., 2005; Hawkesworth and Kemp, 2006a).

The zircon thermometer also provides important information on the formation and evolution of the continental crust (Watson and Harrison, 2005; Watson et al., 2006). Prior to 1995, Hf isotopic compositions were generally measured by thermal-ionization mass spectrometry (TIMS), but multiple-collector inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (MC-ICP-MS) (Walder et al., 1993; Halliday et al., 1998; Albarède et al., 2004) has become a powerful alternative method in recent years. The ICP-based technique provides a high-temperature plasma source that ionizes elements with high first-ionization potentials, such as hafnium. The number of studies undertaken using the Lu–Hf isotopic system has increased dramatically with the advent of the MC-ICP-MS technique, although the mass bias of this approach is about 10 times greater than that typically measured by TIMS.

It is therefore necessary to characterize the mass bias in greater detail, especially for those cases in which isobaric interference corrections are applied (Chu et al., 2002; Vance and Thirlwall, 2002). It has been suggested that mass bias in MC-ICP-MS can be corrected using elements with comparable masses to the element of interest (e.g., Zn for Cu, Tl for Pb) (Longerich et al., 1987; Maréchal et al., 1999; Kamenov et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2006a).

The exceptional ionization efficiency of the plasma source, easy sample handling, and absence of polyatomic interference makes MC-ICP-MS the preferred technique for Lu–Hf isotope analyses of zircon (Andersen et al., 2002; Griffin et al., 2002; Woodhead et al., 2004). Indeed, the importance of the Lu–Hf isotopic system in zircon, as measured by MC-ICP-MS, is widely accepted (Blichert-Toft and Albarède, 1997; Blichert-Toft et al., 1999; Zheng et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006b).

Zircon grains commonly exhibit a complicated growth zonation, particularly in metamorphic rocks. In such cases, in situ microanalysis, cathodoluminescence (CL) images, and backscattered electron (BSE) images are required for accurate interpretations of the geological significance of multiple zircon ages (Hoskin and Schaltegger, 2003). Therefore, the simultaneous in situ analysis of U–Pb isotopes, Hf isotopes, and trace elements is important in determining zircon geochronology and interpreting geological ages. Although large-geometry SIMS instruments (e.g., the sensitive high-resolution ion microprobe, or SHRIMP) are powerful in this regard, this approach is hampered by the following shortcomings: limited access to instrumentation, sensitivity to the so-called matrix effects, and failure to analyze Hf isotopes. Recent improvements in instrumentation and methodology show that laser-ablation-inductively-coupled-plasma mass spectrometry (including quadrupole and multiple collector, abbreviated as LA-Q-ICP-MS and LA-MC-ICP-MS) has become a powerful tool, providing age dates and trace element concentrations comparable to those of SIMS in terms of both accuracy and precision (Horn et al., 2000; Ballard et al., 2001; Li et al., 2000, 2001; Belousova et al., 2002; Köslner et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004; Paul et al., 2005; Simonetti et al., 2005). More importantly, LA-MC-ICP-MS is currently the only method that can be used to determine in situ Hf isotopic compositions (Griffin et al., 2000; Woodhead et al., 2004; Woodhead and Hergt, 2005; Hawkesworth and Kemp, 2006a,b; Wu et al., 2006a).

Previous in situ determinations of zircon U–Pb ages and trace element and Hf isotopic compositions using LA-Q-ICP-MS and LA-MC-ICP-MS have been carried out either on different domains of single zircons [e.g., ablation spots for Hf isotopic analyses have been placed close to the spots used for age dating and trace element analysis (Griffin et al., 2000)], on a similar location with different cycles [e.g., one cycle for standard hafnium analysis and a second cycle for measurements of lead isotopes (Woodhead et al., 2004)], or on similar spot locations but with different spot sizes [e.g., a small crater for U–Pb dating and a larger crater on similar locations for Lu–Hf isotope analyses (Wu et al., 2006b)]. In any case, the data are not generated from a single sampling site during a single laser-ablation analysis.

Because zircon is commonly zoned in terms of age and composition, analyses obtained using the above methods represent best estimates, and may or may not be exactly correlative. Woodhead et al. (2004) simultaneously determined \(^{206}\text{Pb}/^{207}\text{Pb}\) ages and Hf isotope data for zircon from a single ablation using an excimer laser-ablation MC-ICP-MS (Nu Plasma) configuration. The authors suggested that the relatively small amount of sample consumed by the SIMS technique means that
it is better to first date zircon by SIMS and then ablate the same domain for Hf isotopes.

In the present paper, we report on the simultaneous in situ determination of U–Pb age, Hf isotopes, and trace element compositions from a single laser spot in zircon, accomplished by connecting Q-ICP-MS and MC-ICP-MS to an excimer laser-ablation system. The laser aerosol split-flow system was optimized and studied in terms of precision and accuracy using six well-known and well-characterized zircon standards.

2. Instrumentation

The instruments used in this work were a Nu Plasma HR MC-ICP-MS (Nu Instruments Ltd., UK), an Elan 6100 DRC (Dynamic Reaction Cell) Q-ICP-MS (Perkin Elmer/SCIEX, Canada), and a GeoLas 2005 excimer ArF laser-ablation system (MicroLas™ Beam Delivery Systems, Lambda Physik AG, Germany), all housed at the State Key Laboratory of Continental Dynamics, Northwest University, Xi’an, China. The Nu Plasma HR MC-ICP-MS is a second-generation double-focusing MC-ICP-MS with three ion counters and twelve faraday cups. A unique feature of this instrument is a specially designed zoom lens composed of a pair of quadrupole lenses (Belshaw et al., 1998). An Edwards E2M80 source rotary pump was applied in the interface region to improve sensitivity. The detector arrangements and instrument parameters are summarized in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

The GeoLas 2005 laser-ablation system consists of a ComPexPro 102 ArF excimer laser (wavelength of 193 nm, maximum energy of 200 mJ, and maximum pulse rate of 20 Hz) and a GeoLas 2005 PLUS package (including a laser-beam homogenizing system, a motorized sampling stage, and a viewing system coupled with an Olympus microscope and color CCD). Although the energy density measured in the ablation site may be higher than 45 J/cm² for spot sizes of 4–120 μm, it can be flexibly tuned by changing the discharge voltage. A lower energy density can be achieved with the use of an attenuator located at the entrance of the beam delivery system. The energy density used in this study was 15–20 J/cm². Although laser-ablation systems with other wavelengths (i.e., 266 and 213 nm) have also been widely applied, the 193 nm excimer laser-ablation system has been demonstrated to yield superior control in ablation and smaller particle sizes, thereby greatly enhancing vaporization, atomization, and ionization within the ICP. Indeed, improved sensitivity and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Detector</th>
<th>Ex-H</th>
<th>H6</th>
<th>H5</th>
<th>H4</th>
<th>H3</th>
<th>H2</th>
<th>H1</th>
<th>Ax</th>
<th>L1</th>
<th>L2</th>
<th>IC0</th>
<th>IC1</th>
<th>IC2</th>
<th>Ex-L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amu</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotopes</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Hf, W</td>
<td>Hf</td>
<td>Hf, Lu, Yb</td>
<td>Lu</td>
<td>Hf Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
<td>Yb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note the gaps in the collector assembly between Ex-H and H6, H5 and H4, and L2 and IC0.

a Ex-H, H6 to H1, Ax, L1 to L3 and Ex-L are faraday cups, and IC0 to IC2 are ion counters.
precision, as well as reduced elemental fractionation, have been reported for the 193 mm system (Eggins et al., 1998; Günther and Heinrich, 1999a; Guillong et al., 2003). The laser homogenizer consists of two 13×13 lens arrays, and guarantees cylindrical and flat-bottomed craters on target surfaces. A series of spot sizes (5–200 μm in diameter) are available by switching an aperture located at the end of the laser-beam delivery optics in front of the microscope. In the present study, a spot size of 44 μm was used in developing the method, although spot sizes of 60 and 32 μm were also employed.

Helium was used as a carrier gas to provide efficient aerosol transport to the ICP and minimize aerosol deposition around the ablation site and within the transport tube (Eggins et al., 1998; Günther and Heinrich, 1999b; Jackson et al., 2004). We used high-purity argon (99.9995%) and high-purity helium (99.9995%) purified using an in-house filtration column composed of a 10 L 13× molecular sieve. This column has filtering performance similar to charcoal filters (Hirata and Nesbitt, 1997; Iizuka and Hirata, 2005; Wu et al., 2006a).

The U–Pb block detector assembly of the Nu Plasma instrument makes it possible to measure isotopes of 171–177, 179, 180 and 182 atomic mass units (amu) during a single data acquisition. Although the 176Hf signal cannot be acquired, signals were sufficient to obtain precise and geological meaningful 176Hf/177Hf ratios. Among the measured isotopes, the 176Hf/177Hf ratio was applied to calculate the mass fractionation of Hf (βHf), the 175Lu signal was used to calculate the interference of 176Lu on 176Hf, and 173Yb–176Yb–171Yb was applied to calculate both βYb and the interference of 176Yb on 176Hf.

The measurements also provided the opportunity to assess possible differences in the βYb value calculated from 173Yb/171Yb, 173Yb/172Yb, and 172Yb/171Yb (Vervoort et al., 2004). Because of the limited number of partially fixed faraday cups, it has been proposed that either 173Yb/171Yb or 173Yb/172Yb be used as the reference in calculating βYb. Fig. 1 compares βYb calculated from the 173Yb/171Yb, 173Yb/172Yb, and 172Yb/171Yb ratios of standard zircons with various Yb concentrations. The obtained 176Hf/177Hf ratios based on the in situ zircon measurements (Woodhead et al., 2004; Iizuka and Hirata, 2005; Wu et al., 2006a).

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Total radiogenic lead (cps)</th>
<th>Uranium (cps)</th>
<th>Hafnium (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UPb</td>
<td>UPbTr</td>
<td>HfUPb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spot size, μm</td>
<td>91500</td>
<td>18,339</td>
<td>21,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,704</td>
<td>13,252</td>
<td>11,836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>25,638</td>
<td>26,655</td>
<td>30,974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>52,205</td>
<td>45,906</td>
<td>110,709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6990</td>
<td>4540</td>
<td>7053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>462</td>
<td>1142</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Analytical techniques

#### 3.1. Correction for interference by Lu and Yb

Interference correction for Yb and Lu is of paramount importance for precise in situ measurements of Hf isotopes in zircon (Woodhead et al., 2004). Interference by 176Yb is substantial because the Yb content is at least an order of magnitude higher than that of Lu. We were able to use the mass bias from Yb to calculate the mass fractionation of Lu because of the similar physicochemical properties of these HREEs. It has been demonstrated that the mass fractionation of Yb (βYb) is not constant over time and that βYb obtained from the introduction of solutions (e.g., doping the JMC 475 Hf solution with varying Yb concentrations) is unsuitable for in situ zircon measurements (Woodhead et al., 2004; Iizuka and Hirata, 2005; Wu et al., 2006a).

The U–Pb block detector assembly of the Nu Plasma instrument makes it possible to measure isotopes of 171–177, 179, 180 and 182 atomic mass units (amu) during a single data acquisition. Although the 175Hf signal cannot be acquired, signals were sufficient to obtain precise and geological meaningful 176Hf/177Hf ratios. Among the measured isotopes, the 176Hf/177Hf ratio was applied to calculate the mass fractionation of Hf (βHf), the 175Lu signal was used to calculate the interference of 176Lu on 176Hf, and 173Yb–176Yb–171Yb was applied to calculate both βYb and the interference of 176Yb on 176Hf.

The measurements also provided the opportunity to assess possible differences in the βYb value calculated from 173Yb/171Yb, 173Yb/172Yb, and 172Yb/171Yb (Vervoort et al., 2004). Because of the limited number of partially fixed faraday cups, it has been proposed that either 173Yb/171Yb or 173Yb/172Yb be used as the reference in calculating βYb. Fig. 1 compares βYb calculated from the 173Yb/171Yb, 173Yb/172Yb, and 172Yb/171Yb ratios of standard zircons with various Yb concentrations. The obtained 176Hf/177Hf ratios based on the in situ βYb [model 1, the 173Yb/171Yb ratio measured
on the zircon itself (Woodhead et al., 2004) corrected for $^{176}$Yb interference are consistent with calculations based on the mean $\beta_{Yb}$ (model 2, the mean $^{173}$Yb/$^{171}$Yb ratio (Iizuka and Hirata, 2005) or the mean $^{173}$Yb/$^{172}$Yb ratio (Wu et al., 2006a) obtained on the same spot) corrected for $^{176}$Yb interference (Fig. 1a), whereas $\beta_{Yb31}$ (based on $^{173}$Yb/$^{171}$Yb) shows the highest accuracy among $\beta_{Yb31}$, $\beta_{Yb32}$ (based on $^{173}$Yb/$^{172}$Yb), and $\beta_{Yb21}$ (based on $^{172}$Yb/$^{171}$Yb). All $^{176}$Yb-corrected $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf ratios agree with recommended values within 2$\sigma$ error (Woodhead and Hergt, 2005; Wu et al., 2006a). The relative standard deviations (RSD) of $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf ratios based on both models are shown in Fig. 1b. The RSDs associated with $in situ$ $\beta_{Yb}$ (model 1) are generally larger than those associated with the mean $\beta_{Yb}$ (model 2), whereas $\beta_{Yb31}$ shows lower RSDs than $\beta_{Yb21}$ and $\beta_{Yb32}$ for both models (Fig. 1b). Accordingly, the mean $\beta_{Yb31}$ calculation (model 2) was applied in our analyses. Although similar $^{176}$Hf/$^{177}$Hf ratios were obtained on different spot sizes, the RSDs decreased with increasing spot size (Fig. 1b). The RSDs for 44$\mu$m and 60$\mu$m were <0.005%, being similar for each of the four zircons; therefore, a spot size of 44$\mu$m was applied in our analyses unless indicated otherwise. These analyses were then compared to previously reported data generated at spot sizes of 40–94$\mu$m (Griffin et al., 2000; Griffin et al., 2004; Woodhead et al., 2004; Hawkesworth and Kemp, 2006b; Wu et al., 2006a).

3.2. Strategies for simultaneous measurements

Five methods were applied in determining U–Pb–Hf isotopes and trace elements: (1) U–Pb isotopes determined by Q-ICP-MS (herein abbreviated as UPb); (2) U–Pb isotopes and trace elements by Q-ICP-MS (UPbTr); (3) hafnium isotopes by MC-ICP-MS (Hf); (4) hafnium and U–Pb isotopes by the simultaneous use of MC-ICP-MS and Q-ICP-MS (HfUPb); and (5) Hf–U–Pb isotopes and trace elements by the simultaneous use of MC-ICP-MS and Q-ICP-MS (HfUPbTr).

For methods UPb and UPbTr, we used a routine LA-Q-ICP-MS protocol that consisted of 30 s of blank acquisition prior to a 50 s ablation of the zircon (laser frequency, 10 Hz; spot size, 32$\mu$m). For hafnium isotope measurements (Hf), experiments consisted of 50 s of baseline acquisition by the on-peak-zero (OPZ) method, followed by 50 s of zircon ablation (laser frequency, 10 Hz; spot size, 44$\mu$m). For the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods, the aerosol from a single ablation was transferred from the sample chamber to a Y-shaped glass connector (Fig. 2) via a Tygon tube (inner diameter, 4 mm), where it was split into two transport tubes and simultaneously introduced into both the Elan 6100 DRC (for measurements of U and Pb isotopes and trace elements) and the Nu Plasma (for determination of Hf isotopes). The gas inlet (inner diameter, 4 mm) of the connector was divided centrally, and the two gas outlets (inner diameter, 2 mm) were oriented parallel to each other. The two streams of split aerosol were then separately flushed into homemade homogenizers (Fig. 2d) via tygon tubes of 3 m in length and 2 mm inner diameter. Before entering the plasma, the aerosol was mixed with argon makeup gas in the homogenizers, where adjacent peaks of laser pulses were homogenized.

On the Q-ICP-MS side of this setup, a helium gas [via a mass flow controller (MFC)] was connected to the tube between the Y-shaped splitter and the homogenizer. The flow rates of the helium carrier gas were optimized based on signals on the Q-ICP-MS (≈0.5 million cps for $^{238}$U of NIST SRM 610, 44$\mu$m), because sensitivities for Q-
ICP-MS are generally an order of magnitude lower than those for MC-ICP-MS. Approximately 40% of the aerosol was transferred into the Q-ICP-MS for U–Pb and REE analysis; the remainder was flushed into the MC-ICP-MS for the measurement of hafnium isotopes. Detailed analytical protocols are provided in Table 2.

### 3.3. Data acquisition

All LA-Q-ICP-MS and LA-MC-ICP-MS measurements were carried out using time resolved analysis (TRA) operated in fast peak-hopping and DUAL detector mode (Q-ICP-MS) using a short integration time. The MC-ICP-MS was operated in static mode. Prior to ablation for U–Pb dating, the zircon was pre-ablated for two laser pulses (UPb, UPbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr) to remove surface contamination (Iizuka and Hirata, 2004). Raw count rates of $^{29}$Si, $^{204}$Pb, $^{207}$Pb, $^{208}$Pb, $^{232}$Th, and $^{238}$U were measured using Q-ICP-MS. Trace elements were also acquired using the UPbTr and HfUPbTr methods. $^{180–179}$Hf, $^{177–174}$Hf, $^{175}$Lu, and $^{173–171}$Yb isotopes were measured by MC-ICP-MS for methods Hf, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr. Concentrations of uranium, thorium, lead, and trace elements were calibrated using $^{29}$Si as an internal standard and NIST SRM 610 as the external reference standard. Concentration values of NIST SRM 610 used for external calibration were taken from Pearce et al. (1997). $^{202}$Hg was usually <30 cps in the Q-ICP-MS gas blank; therefore, the contribution of $^{204}$Hg to $^{204}$Pb was negligible, and no correction was applied. $^{207}$Pb/$^{206}$Pb, $^{208}$Pb/$^{235}$U, $^{207}$Pb/$^{235}$U, and $^{208}$Pb/$^{232}$Th ratios were calculated using GLITTER 4.4 (GEMOC, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia) and corrected for both instrumental mass bias and depth-dependent elemental and isotopic fractionation using zircon 91500 as the external standard. For U–Pb isotope

---

**Fig. 2.** Schematic diagram of the laser-ablation system setup. The 193 nm laser beam is generated using a COMPexPro 102 ArF Excimer laser, homogenized by a set of beam delivery optics, adjusted in diameter by an aperture (a), deflected by coated deflector mirrors (b), and finally reaches the sample surface by passing through a Schwarzschild objective. The sample is held in a sample chamber, and can be observed under an Olympus optical microscope via a 25° Schwarzschild objective and a CCD monitor. The ablated zircon aerosol carried in helium is split via a Y-shaped connector (c) into two outlet streams that are separately flushed into homemade homogenizers (d) via tygon tubes of 3 m in length and 2 mm inner diameter. The aerosol is mixed with argon makeup gas in the homogenizers. The two streams of aerosol are finally transported into an Elan 6100 DRC Q-ICP-MS (for measurements of U–Pb age and trace element concentrations) and a Nu Plasma HR MC-ICP-MS (for simultaneous hafnium isotope analysis). A mass flow controller (MFC) is connected to the tube between the Y-shaped splitter and homogenizer on the Q-ICPMS side. LED1 and LED 2 indicate reflected and transmitted light.
analyses of zircon 91500, zircon GJ-1 was used as the external standard. Ages were calculated using ISOPLOT (rev. 3) (Ludwig, 2003).

Supplementary Table 1 lists U–Pb data obtained using the four methods with various spot sizes; Supplementary Table 2 lists REE data; and Supplementary Table 3 lists Hf data.

4. Simultaneous measurements of Hf–U–Pb isotopes and trace element compositions of standard zircons

4.1. 91500

Zircon 91500 is one of the most widely applied zircon standards for U–Pb dating and Hf isotopic analysis. This standard is relatively homogeneous in terms of U–Pb isotopic composition, although its overall U and Pb concentrations are low (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995; Kössl et al., 2002; Wiedenbeck et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004; Wu et al., 2006a). The isotope-dilution thermal-ionization mass spectrometry (ID-TIMS) ages for this zircon are 1062.4±0.8 Ma (2σ) for 207Pb/206Pb and 1065.4±0.6 Ma (2σ) for 206Pb/238U (Wiedenbeck et al., 1995). Concordia diagram and weighted average 206Pb/238U ages for this zircon obtained using our four methods are shown in Fig. 3 [UPb (Fig. 3a,b), UPbTr (Fig. 3c,d), HfUPb (Fig. 3e,f), and HfUPbTr (Fig. 3g,h)]. Both the UPb and UPbTr methods were performed using a spot size of 32 μm. The weighted average 206Pb/238U ages obtained using the UPb and UPbTr methods are 1061.8±5.3 Ma [2σ, mean square of weighted deviates (MSWD)=0.13, n=17; Fig. 3b] and 1062.2±6.0 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.046, n=17; Fig. 3d), respectively. The increased standard error of the UPbTr ages is mainly due to the reduced data-acquisition efficiency for U–Pb isotopes that arises when trace elements are measured in addition to U–Th–Pb (UPbTr method). The weighted average 206Pb/238U ages determined using the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods are 1065.1±3.1 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.65, n=26; Fig. 3i) for spot sizes varying from 32 to 60 μm and 1063.2±4.4 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.025, n=21; Fig. 3h) for a spot size of 44 μm.

The ages obtained using all four methods are within 2σ error of the reported ID-TIMS age. The REE concentrations obtained using the UPbTr and HfUPbTr methods are shown in Fig. 4a. The chondrite-normalized REE distributions obtained using both the UPbTr and HfUPbTr methods for various spot sizes overlap with each other and are consistent with recommended values (Whitehouse, 2004; Wiedenbeck et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004). The light rare earth element (LREE) contents of zircon 91500 are very low (Fig. 4a). Previous studies revealed that the hafnium isotopic compositions of this standard are heterogeneous, with an essentially bimodal distribution of 176Hf/177Hf ratios at 0.282284±0.000022 and 0.282330±0.000029 (2σ) (Griffin et al., 2006). The peaks yield an average value of 0.282307±0.000031 (Wu et al., 2006a).

Fig. 5 compares 176Hf/177Hf ratios obtained using the HfUPb and HfUPbTr (Fig. 5a) and Hf (Fig. 5b) methods. The Hf method yielded identical 176Hf/177Hf ratios for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm, which were weighted at 0.282311±0.000005 (2σ, MSWD=0.95, n=31), 0.282305±0.000003 (2σ, MSWD=0.94, n=86), and 0.282310±0.000004 (2σ, MSWD=1.04, n=20), respectively. Combining these data yields a weighted average 176Hf/177Hf value of 0.282308±0.000002 (2σ, MSWD=1.00, n=137). Combined data from the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm yield weighted average 176Hf/177Hf values of 0.282310±0.000022 (2σ, MSWD=0.4, n=12), 0.282309±0.000006 (2σ, MSWD=1.6, n=44), and 0.282310±0.000010 (2σ, MSWD=0.16, n=10), respectively. Taken together, these values give a weighted average 176Hf/177Hf ratio of 0.282309±0.000004 (2σ, MSWD=1.12, n=66, spot size=32, 44, and 60 μm). These results agree well with the recommended values (Woodhead et al., 2004; Woodhead and Hergt, 2005; Griffin et al., 2006; Wu et al., 2006a).

4.2. Temora-2

This zircon standard was obtained from the Middledale Gabbric Diorite, a high-level mafic stock within the Paleozoic Lachlan Orogen of eastern Australia (Black et al., 2004). The standard is coarser grained and more deuterically altered than Temora-1, although both standards have similar ages. The ID-TIMS 206Pb/238U age of this zircon is 416.78±0.33 Ma (MSWD=0.56, 95% confidence limits), based on measurement errors alone. Uncertainties related to spike-calibration and the U decay constant limit the accuracy to 416.8±1.3 Ma (Black et al., 2004). The UPb, UPbTr, HiUPb, and HiUPbTr methods yielded weighted average 206Pb/238U ages of 415.1±2.1 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.57, n=19, spot size=32 μm; Fig. 6b), 416.3±3.0 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.30, n=19, spot size=32 μm; Fig. 6d), 415.3±1.2 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.72, n=40, spot size=32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 6f), and 414.0±2.0 Ma (2σ, MSWD=0.72, n=37, spot size=32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 6h), respectively. These ages agree with the ID-TIMS age within 2σ error and are consistent with published LA-Q-ICP-MS ages (Black et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2004).

The REE concentrations obtained using the UPbTr and HiUPbTr methods are shown in Fig. 4b. Although
the two methods yield overlapping results, consistent with the data reported by Black et al. (2004), the high degree of variation in REE concentrations reveals significant chemical heterogeneity (Fig. 4b). For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm, the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods together yield weighted average 176Hf/177Hf ratios of 0.282677±0.000010 (2σ, MSWD=0.78, n=20), 0.282678±0.000003 (2σ, MSWD=0.68, n=39), and 0.282672±0.000009 (2σ, MSWD=2.3, n=21), respectively. The Hf method gives 0.282680±0.000005 (2σ, MSWD=2.4, n=47) for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm (Fig. 5d). The overall weighted average of the results

Fig. 3. U–Pb concordia diagrams showing the results obtained for zircon 91500 as measured using (a) the UPb method, (c) the UPbTr method, (e) the HfUPb method, and (g) the HfUPbTr method. Corresponding distributions of the weighted average 206Pb/238U ages are shown in (b), (d), (f), and (h), respectively. Uncertainties represent 2σ. MSWD is the mean square of weighted deviates.
obtained using the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods for the three spot sizes is $0.282677 \pm 0.000003$ ($2\sigma$, MSWD = 1.12, $n = 80$; Fig. 5c). These values agree with published results within $2\sigma$ error, with the published values ranging from $0.282680 \pm 0.000024$ ($2\sigma$) to $0.282706 \pm 0.000020$ ($2\sigma$) (Woodhead et al., 2004; Xu et al., 2004; Harrison et al., 2005; Qi et al., 2005; Hawkesworth and Kemp, 2006b; Wu et al., 2006a).

4.3. GJ-1

GJ-1 is a colorful, gem-quality zircon (the color varies from red to pinkish-red, yellowish-green, and brown) that is presumably derived from an East African pegmatite (Jackson et al., 2004; Elhlou et al., 2006). The zircon was originally acquired from G&J Gem Merchants (Sydney, Australia) by the GEMOC group (Jackson et al., 2004; Elhlou et al., 2006), who provided the grain analyzed in the present study (1 cm in diameter). ID-TIMS analyses of this zircon yield a highly precise $^{207}\text{Pb}^{206}\text{Pb}$ age of $608.5 \pm 0.4$ Ma and relatively young $^{206}\text{Pb}^{238}\text{U}$ age of $599.8 \pm 4.5$ Ma (mean of the apparent ages) (Jackson et al., 2004).

The results obtained using the UPb, UPbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr methods are shown in Fig. 7. The weighted average $^{206}\text{Pb}^{238}\text{U}$ ages obtained using the UPb, UPbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr methods are $604.6 \pm 2.9$ Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD = 0.09, $n = 19$, spot size = 32 μm; Fig. 7b), $604.1 \pm 3.2$ Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD = 0.08, $n = 19$, spot size = 32 μm; Fig. 7d), $603.5 \pm 2.2$ Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD = 0.38, $n = 36$, spot...
size = 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 7f), and 602.5 ± 2.2 Ma (2σ, MSWD = 0.31, n = 43, spot size = 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 7h), respectively. Some points plot above the concordia curve, probably due to their low 207Pb intensities (629–680 cps), which are difficult to measure precisely (Fig. 7).

Although these ages are slightly younger than the ID-TIMS 207Pb/206Pb age, they still agree with the ID-TIMS 206Pb/238U age within 2σ error. The REE concentrations measured using the HfUPbTr method overlap with the lower part of the range of results obtained using the UPbTr method. This standard generally shows HREE enrichment and a positive Ce anomaly (Fig. 4c). Hafnium isotopic compositions obtained using the HfUPb+HfUPbTr and Hf methods are shown in Fig. 5e and f, respectively. For a spot size of 32 μm, these values yield weighted average 176Hf/177Hf ratios of 0.282013 ± 0.000014 (2σ, MSWD = 0.84, n = 18) and 0.282015 ± 0.000009 (2σ, MSWD = 1.5, n = 16), respectively; for a spot size of 44 μm, they yield ratios of 0.282013 ± 0.000008 (2σ, MSWD = 1.8, n = 36) and 0.282013 ± 0.000004 (2σ, MSWD = 0.65, n = 51). The overall weighted averages obtained using the HfUPb + HfUPbTr and Hf methods are 0.282013 ± 0.000004 (2σ, MSWD = 1.4, n = 76, spot size = 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 7f), and 602.5 ± 2.2 Ma (2σ, MSWD = 0.31, n = 43, spot size = 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 7h), respectively. The thick vertical bar on the right side of each panel represents the 2σ range of the overall weighted average of analyses for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm.

Fig. 5. 176Hf/177Hf ratios obtained for zircon standards 91500, Temora-2, and GJ-1. The thick horizontal line and grey area in each panel represent the weighted average 176Hf/177Hf ratios from the present study and 2σ error of the recommended values (91500 and Temora-2 from Wu et al., 2006a; GJ-1 from Elhlou et al., 2006), respectively. The thick vertical bar on the right side of each panel represents the 2σ range of the overall weighted average of analyses for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm.
size = 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 5e) and 0.282013 ± 0.000003 (2σ, MSWD=0.84, n=67, spot size=32 and 44 μm; Fig. 5f), respectively. These values agree well with the recommended value of 0.282015 ± 0.000019 (2σ, n=25) reported by Elhlou et al. (2006).

4.4. BR266

BR266 is a Sri Lankan gem-quality zircon that has been used as an ion microprobe reference material by the Geological Survey of Canada (Stern, 2001). The ID-TIMS
$^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ and $^{207}\text{Pb}/^{206}\text{Pb}$ ages for this standard are 559.0±0.3 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.86) and 562.2±0.5 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.15), respectively (Stern, 2001). The $\text{U}–\text{Pb}$ ages measured using the UPb, UPbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr methods are shown in Fig. 8a–h. The weighted average $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{238}\text{U}$ ages are 560.5±1.9 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.08, $n=21$, spot size=32 μm; Fig. 8b), 560.2±2.3 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.08, $n=21$, spot size=32 μm; Fig. 8d), 559.3±2.3 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.43, $n=21$, 32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 8f), and 561.0±2.2 Ma ($2\sigma$, MSWD=0.08, $n=19, 32, 44, and 60 \text{μm}$; Fig. 8h).
MSWD=0.10, n=29, spot size=32, 44, and 60 μm; Fig. 8h), respectively. All of these ages agree well with the ID-TIMS results within 2σ error.

Fig. 4d shows the chondrite-normalized REE patterns obtained from 21 analyses conducted employing the UPbTr and HfUPbTr methods. The results demonstrate that the REE compositions of this zircon are the most homogeneous of the six analyzed standards. The total REE contents of BR266 vary by <33%, which is significantly less than that within zircon 91500 (<100%;

![U-Pb concordia diagrams showing the results obtained for zircon BR266 measured using (a) the UPb method, (c) the UPbTr method, (e) the HfUPb method, and (g) the HfUPbTr method. Corresponding distributions of the weighted average 206Pb/238U ages are shown in (b), (d), (f), and (h), respectively. Uncertainties are 2σ.](image_url)
Yuan et al., 2004), Temora-2 (<464%), GJ-1 (<43%), Mud Tank (<122%), and Monastery (<84%) (Supplementary Table 2). Analysis of BR266 solution by MC-ICP-MS yielded a $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ value of 0.281630±0.000010 (2σ), while long-term LA-MC-ICP-MS measurements averaged 0.281621±0.000024 (Woodhead et al., 2004; Woodhead and Hergt, 2005). For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm, the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods yield weighted average $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ ratios of 0.281620±0.000013 (2σ, MSWD=1.16, n=15), 0.281616±0.000005 (2σ, MSWD=0.85, n=28), and 0.281611±0.000009 (2σ, MSWD=0.98, n=6), respectively. The overall weighted average is 0.281616±0.000004 (2σ, MSWD=0.95, n=49; Fig. 9a), and the Hf method yields a weighted average $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ value of 0.281621±0.000004 (2σ, MSWD=4.6, n=32, spot size=44 μm; Fig. 9b). These values agree with the recommended values within 2σ error. The high degree of homogeneity in terms of hafnium isotopic compositions, U–Pb age, and REEs demonstrates that this standard is well-suited for the evaluation of new external standards for microprobe analysis.

Fig. 9. $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ ratios obtained for the zircon standards BR266, Mud Tank, and Monastery. The thick horizontal line and grey area in each panel represent the weighted average $^{176}\text{Hf}/^{177}\text{Hf}$ ratios determined in the present study and the 2σ error of the recommended values (Woodhead and Hergt, 2005), respectively. The thick vertical bar on the right side of each panel represents the 2σ range of the overall weighted average of analyses for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 μm.
4.5. Mud Tank

The Mud Tank Carbonatite occurs in the Strangways Range of the Northern Territory of Australia, ∼150 km northeast of Alice Springs (Black and Gulson, 1978; Currie et al., 1992). The reported ID-TIMS age of zircons from this carbonatite is 732 ± 5 Ma (Black and Gulson, 1978). The weighted average $^{206}\text{Pb} / ^{238}\text{U}$ ages obtained using the
The Monastery megacryst zircon is derived from a 90 Ma Group I kimberlite pipe located in the southeast part of Free State, South Africa (Gurney et al., 1979). The zircon contains very low U–Pb concentrations [U<13 parts per million (ppm); Pb<3 ppm; Supplementary Table 1], making it unsuitable as a standard for U–Pb dating. Our 34 measurements of this zircon using the U-Pb, U-PbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr methods yield a \(^{206}\text{Pb}^{/}^{238}\text{U}\) age of 101.9±2.2 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=2.2, \(n=34\); Supplementary Table 1). Griffin et al. (2000) reported that the \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios for this zircon range from 0.282661±0.000020 (2\(\sigma\)) to 0.282740±0.000015 (2\(\sigma\)), with an average of 0.282703±0.000030 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=42\)); their 42 analyses show a unimodal distribution. However, subsequent evaluations by Woodhead and Hergt (2005) yielded ratios of 0.282738±0.000004 (2\(\sigma\)) using solution MC-ICP-MS and 0.282739±0.000013 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=83\)) using LA-MC-ICP-MS.

For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, our Hf method yields weighted average \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios of 0.282733±0.000013 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=5\); Woodhead and Hergt, 2005), respectively, whereas solution MC-ICP-MS measurements after chemical separation yield a value of 0.282507±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=5\); Woodhead and Hergt, 2005). For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, the Hf method yields weighted average \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios of 0.282511±0.000008 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.7, \(n=11\)), 0.282507±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.9, \(n=24\)), and 0.282520±0.000016 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=11.0, \(n=8\)), respectively. The overall average of these spot sizes is 0.282513±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=4.8, \(n=43\); Fig. 9d). In comparison, the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods together yield values of 0.282503±0.000008 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=0.88, \(n=15\)), 0.282504±0.000007 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.4, \(n=15\)), and 0.282507±0.000007 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=3.1, \(n=21\)) for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, respectively. These values yield an overall weighted average of 0.282506±0.000004 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.9, \(n=51\); Fig. 9c), which is only slightly lower than the overall average determined using the Hf method alone. All of these results agree with the recommended value within 2\(\sigma\) error.

4.6. Monastery

The Monastery megacryst zircon is derived from a 90 Ma Group I kimberlite pipe located in the southeast part of Free State, South Africa (Gurney et al., 1979). The zircon contains very low U–Pb concentrations [U<13 parts per million (ppm); Pb<3 ppm; Supplementary Table 1], making it unsuitable as a standard for U–Pb dating. Our 34 measurements of this zircon using the U-Pb, U-PbTr, HfUPb, and HfUPbTr methods yield a \(^{206}\text{Pb}^{/}^{238}\text{U}\) age of 101.9±2.2 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=2.2, \(n=34\); Supplementary Table 1). Griffin et al. (2000) reported that the \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios for this zircon range from 0.282661±0.000020 (2\(\sigma\)) to 0.282740±0.000015 (2\(\sigma\)), with an average of 0.282703±0.000030 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=42\)); their 42 analyses show a unimodal distribution. However, subsequent evaluations by Woodhead and Hergt (2005) yielded ratios of 0.282738±0.000004 (2\(\sigma\)) using solution MC-ICP-MS and 0.282739±0.000013 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=83\)) using LA-MC-ICP-MS.

For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, our Hf method yields weighted average \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios of 0.282733±0.000013 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=5\); Woodhead and Hergt, 2005), respectively, whereas solution MC-ICP-MS measurements after chemical separation yield a value of 0.282507±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), \(n=5\); Woodhead and Hergt, 2005). For spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, the Hf method yields weighted average \(^{176}\text{Hf}^{/}^{177}\text{Hf}\) ratios of 0.282511±0.000008 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.7, \(n=11\)), 0.282507±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.9, \(n=24\)), and 0.282520±0.000016 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=11.0, \(n=8\)), respectively. The overall average of these spot sizes is 0.282513±0.000006 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=4.8, \(n=43\); Fig. 9d). In comparison, the HfUPb and HfUPbTr methods together yield values of 0.282503±0.000008 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=0.88, \(n=15\)), 0.282504±0.000007 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.4, \(n=15\)), and 0.282507±0.000007 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=3.1, \(n=21\)) for spot sizes of 32, 44, and 60 \(\mu\)m, respectively. These values yield an overall weighted average of 0.282506±0.000004 (2\(\sigma\), MSWD=1.9, \(n=51\); Fig. 9c), which is only slightly lower than the overall average determined using the Hf method alone. All of these results agree with the recommended value within 2\(\sigma\) error.

5. Conclusions

We developed accurate in situ methods for the simultaneous measurement of U–Pb–Hf isotope and trace element compositions on the same spot (44 \(\mu\)m) within zircon. Our obtained U–Pb ages and Hf isotopic compositions for six standard zircons agree within 2\(\sigma\) error with recommended or existing values reported in previous studies, thereby demonstrating the feasibility of the proposed methods. The REE composition of zircon BR266 is relatively homogeneous, making it an ideal standard for evaluating new standards for microprobe analyses of
zircons. Our methods provide a precise approach to measurements of the U–Pb age and Hf isotopic and trace element compositions of zircon for a single ablation event; such an approach is critical in some zircon studies, especially those dealing with small zircons and zircons with complicated zoning. Our methods are also potentially useful in any applications that require trace element concentrations and isotopic compositions determined from a single sampling site.
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